UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/723,234	11/26/2003	Neeraj Khurana	2705-313 9146		
	7590 07/24/200 NSON & MCCOLLO	EXAMINER			
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D		
PORTLAND, OR 97204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2113		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/723,	234	KHURANA ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		JOSEPH	I D. MANOSKEY	2113		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF 7 of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the inner state of the i	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron pplication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pr		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) 1-23 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Claim(s) are subject to restriction	re withdrawn from o				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 Novembe</u> Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	r 2003 is/are: a)⊠ ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se lired if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:)ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/723,234 Page 2

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 24 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 24 and 25 are directed towards a computer readable medium containing instructions which, when executed in a system, cause said system to perform the method recited in claims 21 and 22 respectively. Nowhere in the specification is a computer readable medium storing the implementation of claimed method claims 21 and 22 supported for the claims 24 and 25 which were added in the amendment filed 19 May 2005.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-23 are allowed as previously indicated.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/723,234 Page 3

Art Unit: 2113

4. Applicant's arguments filed pages 6 and 7 of amendment filed 29 April 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues the specification supports the computer readable medium, specifically pointing to figure 1 with the exception handler 13 and code 13A. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claims 24 and 25 are implementations of claims 21 and 22 respectively. Claim 21 includes steps of activating the exception handler, therefore the computer readable medium of claims 24 and 25 must include instructions for more than just the exception handler. They must include instructions that use the exception handler. There is no support in the specification directed towards a computer readable medium containing instructions which, when executed in a system, cause said system to perform the method recited in claims 21 and 22 respectively.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH D. MANOSKEY whose telephone number is (571)272-3648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (7:30am to 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryce P Bonzo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2113

JDM July 18, 2008